# CHEME SHOL23

november 28-30, 2023 | moody gardens, galveston, tx



AFRICAN



## Accelerate Your Sustainability Program

Douglas White Emerson Automation Solutions

## Presenter

Doug White (doug.white@emerson.com) Principal Consultant Emerson Automation Solutions



Background: Many years of experience designing, justifying, installing and commissioning advanced real time automation / optimization/ digitalization and modeling applications in the process industries and assessing their financial and sustainability impact.



## **Sustainability Is Everywhere**





### Introduction

2	Current Status of Refinery and Chemical Plant Greenhouse Gas Emissions
3	How Can Advanced Software and Automation Help Achieve Emission Reductions?
4	How Can Advanced Software and Automation Help With Evaluating Individual Emission Reduction Options?
5	Evaluating Overall Emission Reduction Programs
6	What Is The Next Step?

7 Summary

## **US Refining and Chemical Industry Scope 1&2 CO2 Emissions**





#### Industrial Contribution To Meet 2050 Scope1 & 2 Greenhouse Gas Emissions

7

## **All Major Chemical Companies Have Announced 2050 Carbon Reduction**

#### **Targets** Net-zero heat map

Kuraray

Clariant

aarban raduation commitmente)

(announced net-zero or carbon reduction commitments)									
Net-zero by 2050		2030 reduction commitment			No announced commitments				
BASF	Sinopec	Dow	ExxonMobil	Lyondell Basell	Sabic	Ineos	Formosa Plastics	ChemChina	Hengli Petrochem
Linde	Mitsubishi Chemical	Nutrien	Air Liquide	Rongsheng Petrochem	Bayer	Shell	LG Chem	Wanhua Chemical	Sherwin- Williams
Toray Industries	Braskern	Covestro	Shin Etsu	Sumitomo Chemicals	Evonik	PPG Industries	Asahi Kasei	DuPont	Yara
Lotte Chemical	Corteva	Indorama Ventures	Mitsui Chemical	Shanghai Petrochem	Solvay	3M	Ecolab	Hengyi Petrochem	Showa Denko
Mosaic	Borealis AG	Westlake	IFF	Henkel	AkzoNobel	Arkema	DSM	Eastman Chemical	Air Products
Eurochem	Sika	Sasol	Johnson Matthey	Nippon Paint	Tongkun Group	Lanxess	Orbia	Celanese	Huntsman
Tosoh	OCP S.A.	Air Water	Grupo Alfa	Kumho Petrochem	Wacker Chemie	Olin	Givaudan	lsrael Chemical	RPM
Eni/Versalis	CF Industries	Xinfengming Group	Chemours	OCI N.V.	Teijin	Mitsubishi Gas Chem	UPL	Kingfa	North Huajin

Lonza

Nova

Chemicals

FMC

Chemica

Nouryon

Daicel

Kaneka

Axalta

Source: Chemical Week; company reports; S&P Global Commodity Insights. © 2023 S&P Global

Hanwha

Symrise

Petronas

Chemical

PhosAgro

Firmenich

Avient

Orica

Trinseo

Uralkali

#### **Strategies To Reduce Scope 1&2 Refining and Chemical Plant Emissions**





## The Challenge:

## Meeting Sustainability Goals While Simultaneously Improving Safety, Availability, and Profitability In A Constrained Capital Environment

## **Answer:**

## Using Modern Software and Automation Technology To Dramatically Reduce Emissions while Improving Planning, Operations and Maintenance



## Modern Advanced Automation/Industrial Software /Analytics Capabilities Support Sustainability Across the Facility Lifecycle



## How Can Advanced Automation and Software Help Reduce Emissions

## **Typical Chemical Plant Sankey Diagram**

## Chemicals (NAICS 325) Energy Consumption and Loss (TBtu), 2018



## **Opportunities For Energy and Emission Savings in Existing Plants**



**Corrected to Standard Conditions for Process Configuration, Product Grades, and Feed Quality** 

## Refining and Chemical Plant Life Cycle: How Can Advanced Automation And Software Technology Help Reduce Emissions?

Techno-Economic Assessment	Produ Optimiz		Enterprise Energy & Emissions Management			
<ul> <li>Feedstock Replacement</li> <li>Evaluate integration of new feedstocks in new &amp; existing process</li> <li>Support design decisions regarding new energy efficient feedstock, processes or equipment</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Alternate Feedsto</li> <li>Optimize feedsto selection for emis</li> </ul>			<ul> <li>Enterprise Optimization</li> <li>Improve system economics</li> <li>Optimize regional supply chains to maximize margins and minimize carbon intensity</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Process &amp; Equipment Design</li> <li>Accelerate effective scale- up of new processes for reduced emissions</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Process Optimization</li> <li>Optimize to ensure production and emmanagement</li> </ul>	e consistent nissions	<ul> <li>Incorporate new feedstock</li> <li>Track feedstock usage</li> <li>Prioritize end market</li> </ul>		
↓.		<ul> <li>Energy network op</li> <li>Avoid disruptions</li> <li>problems early</li> </ul>		opportunities		

## How Can Advanced Automation/ Software/ Analytics Reduce Emissions In Existing Plants?



## **Case Study – Petrochemical Complex Energy Management and Optimization**

#### **Production Challenges**

- Volatility creating need for flexibility in energy use
- Energy market deregulation allowing more leverage in electricity/gas supply contracts.
- Need short term tactical planning/optimization (1 - 30 days)
- Longer term strategic planning (1 month 5 years)
  - Future contracts selection & future investments



#### **Value Improvement Practice**

- Decision support solution for making long-term business decisions on supply contract, tariff selection, and investment plans
- Simulation and optimization across 55 plants at the site
- Optimization of energy supply in realtime

#### **Impact on Operations**

**2-3%** less energy use and emissions

"... we achieved millions of Euros of savings through the utilities optimization of our 55 plants, with recurring annual benefits."

- Site Engineer



## **Case Study - Downstream Energy and Emission Management Information Systems**

#### **Production Challenges**

- Complicated utility systems limit ability of operators to optimize across site
- Energy pricing varies by time of day
- Hard to tell when an asset or process is operating inefficiently
- Monthly energy reports are after-thefact and too late to do something



#### **Value Improvement Practice**

#### **Energy Management Information System**

- Dashboards to monitor real time energy usage against expected
- Flag, track and categorize overconsumption events
- Easily build either rigorous or statistical energy consumption models
- Understand the financial impact of inefficient operations
- Optimize utility operation



#### **Impact on Operations**

**\$22M** per year annual energy savings with associated emission reductions

**2-7%** reduction in total site energy usage and emissions

Improved visibility of energy and emission metrics across the site



## **Case Study - Heat Integration Project**

#### **Production Challenges**

- Address the loss in production capacity at the plant unit during summer season.
- Enable stable operation, capacity maximization and energy recovery in the NHT (Naphtha Hydrotreater) from better heat recovery.

**Value Improvement Practice** 

Used a plant Model, with all HXs modeled rigorously, to

- Determine the cause of the issue.
- Evaluate alternative HX network based on cost estimates
- Check for any vibration or pressure drop issues.

#### **Impact on Operations**

**20%** reduction in fuel consumption from higher energy recovery with accompanying emission reduction.

Stable operation of the unit and project payback period of less than a year



## **Evaluating Individual Emission Reduction Options**

## **Developing An Emission Reduction Program**



#### **Example: Typical Possible Emission Reduction Project Selection For An Olefin Plant**

	Energy Efficiency			
EE1	Pyrolysis Furnace Advanced Control and Energy Optimization			
	Improved Measurements and Advanced Control For Recovery Section			
	Overall Site Energy Management and Real Time Optimization			
	Reducing Point Emissions Through Monitoring (Steam Traps; PRV's; etc.)			
	Improved consensate recovery and cooling tower availability/ efficiency			
	LP Steam Recompression			
EE7	Process Modifications For Increased Heat Integration and Recovery			
EE8	Flare gas recovery			
	Carbon Capture and Sequestration			
CCS1	Pyrolysis Furnace Post Combustion CCS			
	Electrification			
EL1	Renewable power substitution for exisiting power usage			
EL2	Refrigeration Compressors Electrification with Pyrolysis Furnace Air Preheating			
EL3	Cracked Gas Compressor Electrification			
EL4	Tank Heaters and Heat Tracing Elecrification			
EL5	Column Reboiler Electrification			
EL6	Electric Steam Boiler			
EL7	Electric Pyrolysis Furnaces			
	Hydrogen as fuel			
	Blue Hydrogen			
H2	Green Hydrogen			
	Feed Sustitution			
BI1	Coprocessing Bionaphtha			

#### What Should Be The Order of Investment / Installation?

#### Investment Order – Maximize CO2 Removal Amount Per Marginal Investment Cost

- Develop a list of potential abatement projects with CO2e reduction estimates and project net present value (NPV)
- Rank all potential projects based on cost per tCO2e
- Some projects will have a positive NPV (nonfinancially profitable) and some negative
- Add credits from Carbon Offsets
- Implement profitable (cost-negative) projects first
- Segregate into short-term and medium-term projects
- Initiate FEED studies for capital-investment projects



## Which Investment Options Should Be Chosen First? – Marginal Abatement Cost Curve



## **Evaluating Overall Emission Reduction Programs**

## After MACC, What Next?

- MACC ranks which projects should be pursued, but does NOT
  - Define the sequence of projects
  - Consider credit overlaps between projects
  - Evaluate technology options
  - Consider budgets and corporate constraints
  - Ensure meeting emissions reduction timelines
  - Evaluate risks or probabilities
- Strategic Planning Tools
  - Holistic approach to business performance
  - Quantifies expected (probabilistic) value of improvement projects
  - Uses simulation to quantify contribution to nonperformance
    - Impacts on revenue, production, emissions, energy use





## **Uncertainty in Sustainability Solutions**

- Availability of Process Technology Timing
- Efficacy of Technology Yield (actual reduction of emissions vs. advertised)
- Reliability of Technology more frequent maintenance - MTTF? Longer repair times - MTTR?
- Supply Chain Uncertainty (feedstock type, quality, availability)
- Power Sources (type green? Blue?), timing, availability, storage needed?
- Costs process tech, power, capital
- Regulatory Emission limit changes
- Carbon Tax/Credit Issues timing, magnitude
- Climate affect on energy needs, power sources, prices, demands, etc.
- Feed & Product Pricing Demand variations, feed availability
- Other COVID type impacts



## **Choosing The Most Cost Effective Investments To Increase Availability**

#### **Operational Challenges**

- Chemical and Refining Plants have highly varying feedstocks, hydrogen demand and product quality
- High profitability means large incentives for highly reliable plants
- Complex designs makes investment decisions complicated

**Value Improvement Practice** 

Scenario based modeling which explicitly considers uncertainty

- Understand The Entire System Holistic, Interdependent Model
- Reduce Risk on All Decisions All Uncertainties Included
- Prioritize CAPEX / OPEX spending Quantify ROI for all options
- Continuous Improvement of System over the Entire Life-Cycle
- Most Accurate Predictions of Future
   Performance Confidence

#### Impact on Operations

Enabling the best data driven decisions to mitigate cost and risk

00 + Plants modelled



Typical Benefits from optimized capital expenditures

## **Modeling Emissions and Uncertainty**



## **Sustainability Program Evaluation Software**

- Discrete event simulation
- Models include probabilistic events such as equipment failures
- Models evaluate future scenarios, dates and durations such as new investment commissioning
- Typical single model run is over an extended calendar, i.e. 10 years; alternately to 2050
- Uses Monte Carlo simulation
  - Random probability generator sets probabilities for each case
  - Run thousands of cases with random probabilities
  - Produces probability distribution of likely outcomes



## **Probabilistic Performance – What the Future Likely Looks Like**

Probability to Exceed

100%

80%

60%

40%

20%

0.98

0.97

Ъ

Ĩ

8

Occurences

#### **Probability to Exceed Histogram**

Utilized Capacity Total Revenue

#### Event Culpability Based On Flow Through Total Revenue Show most significant 20 🗢 Events wag a column header here to group by that column. Event ID Event Name Culpability Average Event Average Sensitivity Average Sensitivity Failures Basis Unit **Besis Unit** Shutdown Insulasons 0.14678405621 31 - Polyuretha. 18 Prod B Demand 32 - Polyuretha.. 16 Prod A Demand 0.10269262862. 4.7 14 - Ethylene F. 28 D 2800 Fe. 0.10147080170 3.7 3.1 25 - Prod C Doc. 0.62 21 D 2100 Fe ... 0.08162306318. 14 30 - H2 Plant 29 - 02 Plant 4 H 400 Heater 0.06006081488. 2.2 0.005 1.8 7 - D 700 Feed. 2.5 11 Hi Temp Cooli, 0.05645151647 22 - Late Ship 23 E 2300 Exchange 0.05328110731 2.2 0.005 0.36 2 - E 200 Exch. 0.05234036627. 0.3 15 - C 1500 Com. 9 E 900 Exchanger 2 0 1 - C 100 Comp. 8 C 800 Co., 0.04762179000. 14 0.005 0.32 8 - C 800 Comp. 1 C 100 Co... 0.03919833516.. 1.3 0.17 9 - E 900 Exch... 15 C 1500 Co... 0.03903245672 ... 1.2 0.16 23 - E 2300 Exc. 2 E 200 Exchanger 1.5 0.2 11 - Hi Temp Co. 0.03811308173. 4 - H 400 Heat. 22 Late Ship 0.03728640990. 9.5 93 21 - D 2100 Fee. 7 D 700 Fe., 0.03666015744. 2.4 1.1 28 - D 2800 Fee. 29 O2 Plant 3.6 1.2 0.02306302112 0.07 16 - Prod A Dem. 30 H2 Plant 0.02181160261. 2.7 0.69 18 - Prod 8 Dem. 0 Parents: 8% 12% 2% 414 6% 10% 14% Prod B Demand Culpability

System Culpabilities Histogram

What is the probability that the specified plant design will meet the utilization and emissions targets?

Utilized Capacity

0.95

Which systems or equipment is responsible for most of the emissions over time?

25%

20%

158

108

5%

0.91

0.92

0.93

0.94

Occurren

### **Defining the Path to Short Term and 2050 Goals**



• Sum of the Individual Plans (i.e., supply chain or equipment plans)

Continuously validate and improve the model and plan based on results and emerging technologies

### Site Probability Weighted Overall Projected CO2 Emissions



## What Is The Next step?

## What Is The Next Step? – Sustainability Assessment Workshop

- Structured COLLABORATIVE process to build consensus on top priorities and sustainability impact
- Engagement from multiple disciplines at the plant and headquarters





Through a collaborative approach, we help our customers develop their **Roadmap and Marginal Abatement Cost Curve (MACC) for low carbon** transition



Marginal Abatement Cost Curve (MACC)

## **Example Sustainability and Operational Efficiency Program**



#### Benefits

- 1-2% Operating Margin Improvement
- Improved Customer Service
- Improved Supply Chain Capacity

#### Benefits

- 1-2% Less Energy Cost And
- Emission/Ton Ethylene & PE
- <1.5% Unaccounted Losses</li>

#### Benefits

- 3-8% More Production
- 3-5% Utilities Cost And Emission Reduction
- Increase Average Furnace Yield 0.25-0.5%
- 10% Reduced Transition And Startup Material

#### Benefits

- Reduced Compressor Maintenance Cost 3-5%
- 40% Reduction In Valve Stem Emissions
- 60% Reduction In Lighting Costs And Associated Emissions

## **Typical Result - Investment Options Evaluation**

## Preferred sequence of projects, expected costs and expected emission reduction per date



## Summary

- Sustainability investments in the chemical and refining industry are very important..
- Choosing the correct set of investments is complex.



Next Steps



#### **PATHWAY PRIORITIZATION**

Prioritize high impact technology pathway opportunities supporting emission reductions



#### VALUE ASSESSMENT

Assess current status and deliver a plan focused on meeting sustainability goals with minimum capital investment Thank You

## **Questions?**

## doug.white@emerson.com

